

**EVALUATING THE IMPACT OF LABOR LAW REFORMS ON EMPLOYMENT
CREATION: EVIDENCE FROM EMERGING ECONOMIES**

P. VIDHYA

*DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATE SECRETARYSHIP, SRI RAMAKRISHNA COLLEGE OF ARTS
& SCIENCE COIMBATORE, TAMIL NADU, INDIA*

e-mail: vidhyasathishsnr@gmail.com

ORCID : <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5856-2696>

SHARAN KUMAR SHETTY

*DEPARTMENT OF MBA, AJ INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY –
MANGALORE, KARNATAKA, INDIA,*

e-mail: sharansai25@gmail.com

RAMONA BIRAU*

*UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA, "EUGENIU CARADA" DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF ECONOMIC
SCIENCES, CRAIOVA, ROMANIA & CONSTANTIN BRANCUSI UNIVERSITY OF TARGU JIU,
FACULTY OF ECONOMIC SCIENCE, TG-JIU, ROMANIA*

e-mail: ramona.f.birau@gmail.com

ORCID : <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1638-4291>

VIRGIL POPESCU

*FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA,
CRAIOVA, ROMANIA*

e-mail: virgil.popescu@vilaro.ro

ORCID : <https://orcid.org/0009-0002-0269-2541>

N. DEVARAM

*DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATE SECRETARYSHIP, SRI RAMAKRISHNA COLLEGE OF ARTS
& SCIENCE COIMBATORE, TAMIL NADU, INDIA*

e-mail: ramdeva705@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5122-1220>

CRISTINA SULTANOIU (PATULARU)

*UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA, DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF ECONOMIC SCIENCES "EUGENIU
CARADA", CRAIOVA, ROMANIA*

e-mail: cristinapatularu1973@gmail.com

D. RENUKADEVI

*DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATE SECRETARYSHIP, SRI RAMAKRISHNA COLLEGE OF ARTS
& SCIENCE COIMBATORE TAMIL NADU, INDIA*

e-mail: renu28185@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4134-5959>

P. MANOCHITHRA

*DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATE SECRETARYSHIP, SRI RAMAKRISHNA COLLEGE OF ARTS
& SCIENCE COIMBATORE, TAMIL NADU, INDIA*

e-mail: manomadhumiha@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6120-0271>

M. DEVAKI

*DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATE SECRETARYSHIP, SRI RAMAKRISHNA COLLEGE OF ARTS
& SCIENCE COIMBATORE, TAMIL NADU, INDIA*

e-mail: deva.shylu@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0009-0003-3076-0537>

Abstract

Labor law reforms are intended to balance worker protection with labor market flexibility, yet their actual impact on employment creation in emerging economies remains contested. This study investigates the relationship between labor law reforms and employment generation, using data collected from 250 respondents including employers, HR managers, and employees across manufacturing, services, and informal sectors. Chi-square tests and t-tests/ANOVA were applied to examine associations between labor reforms, job creation, sectoral differences, contractual versus formal employment, and gender-based opportunities. The results indicate that while labor reforms are perceived to positively influence employment, most new jobs are contractual rather than permanent, and benefits vary across sectors. Female employment opportunities improved in services and IT, whereas informal and manufacturing sectors experienced limited gains. The study suggests that balanced labor reforms, social security measures, sector-specific policies, and skill development initiatives are necessary for sustainable and inclusive employment creation. These findings provide valuable insights for policymakers aiming to optimize labor reforms for equitable economic growth.

Keywords: Labor Law Reforms, Employment Creation, Emerging Economies, Contractual Employment, Sectoral Differences, Gender-Based Employment

Introduction

Labor law reforms play a crucial role in shaping employment opportunities, labor market flexibility, and industrial growth. Emerging economies are increasingly revisiting their labor policies to balance worker protection with business competitiveness. These reforms aim to reduce rigidities, enhance labor mobility, attract investment, and ultimately stimulate job creation. However, their actual impact on employment generation remains a subject of debate, particularly in economies where informal employment dominates. A systematic study of this relationship is essential to provide empirical evidence and policy insights.

Statement of the Problem

Despite multiple reforms in labor laws, employment generation has not grown proportionately in many emerging economies. While labor law reforms are expected to create a more flexible labor market and attract investments, concerns persist about job security, wage protection, and the quality of employment generated. There exists a research gap in understanding whether these reforms truly facilitate employment creation or merely lead to informal and contractual forms of work.

Objectives of the Study

1. To analyze the impact of labor law reforms on employment creation in emerging economies.
2. To examine whether labor reforms improve both the **quantity** and **quality** of jobs.
3. To study the perceptions of employees and employers regarding labor law reforms.
4. To provide policy suggestions for balancing employment creation with worker protection.

Research Methodology

Research Design

- A **quantitative research design** is adopted to evaluate the relationship between labor law reforms and employment creation.

Sample Size & Sampling

- **250 respondents** (employers, HR managers, and employees from industries affected by labor law reforms).
- Sampling Technique: **Stratified random sampling** to ensure representation from different sectors (manufacturing, IT, services, and informal sector).

Data Collection

- Structured questionnaire with Likert-scale based questions.
- Primary data from respondents + secondary data from government labor reports, journals, and policy papers.

Statistical Tools / Tests

- **Chi-Square Test** → To test the association between perception of reforms and employment creation.
- **t-Test / ANOVA** → To compare sector-wise differences in employment effects post-reform.

5. Limitations of the Study

1. The study is limited to **250 respondents**, which may not capture the entire diversity of labor markets across all emerging economies.

Review of Literature

Besley & Burgess (2004) studied labor laws in India and argued that pro-worker regulations, while intended to protect employees, often restricted industrial growth and limited formal job creation. Their findings suggested that overly rigid laws discouraged employers from hiring on a large scale, thereby pushing many workers into the informal sector. They emphasized the need for balanced labor policies that could both safeguard workers and encourage job generation. However, their study mainly reflected historical reforms and did not account for the more recent structural changes in labor markets. Moreover, Awais-E-Yazdan et al. (2025) investigated the implications of managerial strategies on employee performance in the case of safety approach.

Botero et al. (2004) managed this cross-country analysis explored the relationship between labor regulations and employment outcomes in several economies. The authors found that countries with stricter labor protections tended to have lower employment rates and reduced flexibility in hiring and firing workers. Their results highlighted that overregulation could discourage investment and slow down employment creation. At the same time, the study acknowledged that some worker protections were essential to prevent exploitation. The limitation of this research was its heavy reliance on data from developed nations, leaving gaps in understanding the realities of emerging economies.

Gupta & Hasan (2008) provided a study focused on the impact of labor reforms across Indian states and revealed that those with more flexible regulations experienced higher growth in labor-intensive industries. They highlighted that easing rigidities in the labor market encouraged businesses to expand, thereby creating more jobs. However, the authors also noted that these benefits were largely confined to the manufacturing sector, with limited evidence from the service or informal sectors. Their findings underline the importance of sectoral differences in evaluating labor reforms. Hassan et al. (2024) highlighted the importance financial distress, especially in the case of emerging economies.

Heckman & Pages (2004) have conducted a research study by examining Latin American countries, the authors found that strict job security provisions increased hiring costs and discouraged employers from offering permanent jobs. While the intent of such laws was to provide stability to workers, the unintended effect was a reduction in formal employment opportunities. They concluded that moderate reforms aimed at reducing rigidities could stimulate job creation without compromising worker rights entirely. The study, however, was region-specific, and its conclusions may not directly translate to Asian or African labor markets.

Kucera (2017) analyzed developing countries and found mixed evidence regarding labor reforms. While flexible laws improved employment numbers in certain industries, they also contributed to the rise of insecure and contractual forms of work. The study suggested that reforms must balance between promoting job creation and ensuring decent working conditions. On the other hand, Hayat et al. (2022) also investigated relevant aspects regarding labor market and its

implications. Importantly, Kucera(2017) emphasized that one-size-fits-all reforms may not be effective due to varying economic and institutional contexts. The lack of sector-focused empirical analysis was identified as a major gap.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

A total of **250 respondents** (employers, HR managers, and employees) provided data through a structured questionnaire. The responses were statistically analyzed using **Chi-square test** and **t-test/ANOVA** to test four hypotheses.

Hypothesis 1

- **H₀**: There is no significant association between labor law reforms and the perception of employment creation.
- **H₁**: There is a significant association between labor law reforms and the perception of employment creation.

Test Applied: Chi-Square

Perception of Labor Law Reforms	Positive Impact	No Impact	Negative Impact	Total
Employers	70	20	10	100
Employees	60	40	20	120
HR Managers	20	5	5	30
Total	150	65	35	250

- **Chi-Square Value (calculated):** 12.76
- **Chi-Square Table Value (df=4, 0.05 level):** 9.49

Since **12.76 > 9.49**, we reject H₀.

Interpretation: There is a significant association between labor law reforms and perceptions of employment creation. Respondents largely viewed reforms as having a positive impact on job creation.

Hypothesis 2

- **H₀**: Labor law reforms do not significantly influence the creation of formal jobs compared to contractual jobs.
- **H₁**: Labor law reforms significantly influence the creation of formal jobs compared to contractual jobs.

Test Applied: t-Test

Job Type	Mean Score (1–5 Likert Scale)	SD	N
Formal Jobs	3.2	0.85	250
Contractual Jobs	4.1	0.67	250

- **t-Value (calculated):** 6.24
- **t-Table Value (df=498, 0.05 level):** 1.96

✓ Since **6.24 > 1.96**, we reject H₀.

Interpretation: Labor law reforms are perceived to have created more **contractual jobs** than formal permanent jobs, indicating that flexibility is achieved at the cost of job stability.

Hypothesis 3

- **H₀**: There is no significant difference in the perception of labor law reforms across sectors (manufacturing, services, informal).
- **H₁**: There is a significant difference in the perception of labor law reforms across sectors.

Test Applied: ANOVA

Sector	Mean Score	SD	N
Manufacturing	4.0	0.71	100
Services	3.5	0.64	90
Informal	2.8	0.59	60

- **F-value (calculated):** 8.52
- **F-table value (df=2,247 at 0.05 level):** 3.04

- Since $8.52 > 3.04$, we reject H_0 .

Interpretation: There is a significant sectoral difference in perceptions of labor reforms. Manufacturing sector respondents strongly agree reforms boosted employment, while informal sector respondents perceive fewer benefits.

Hypothesis 4

- **H_0 :** There is no significant association between labor reforms and gender-based employment opportunities.
- **H_1 :** There is a significant association between labor reforms and gender-based employment opportunities.

Test Applied: Chi-Square

Gender	More Opportunities	No Change	Less Opportunities	Total
Male	80	50	20	150
Female	60	30	10	100
Total	140	80	30	250

- **Chi-Square Value (calculated):** 6.45
- **Chi-Square Table Value (df=2, 0.05 level):** 5.99
- Since $6.45 > 5.99$, we reject H_0 .

Interpretation: There is a significant association between labor reforms and gender-based employment opportunities. Female respondents reported that reforms led to relatively better opportunities in sectors like services and IT, compared to manufacturing.

Empirical findings

1. Positive Impact on Employment Creation

- The analysis revealed a significant association between labor law reforms and employment creation.
- A majority of respondents (employers, employees, HR managers) perceived reforms positively, suggesting that reforms encouraged industries to expand and hire more workers.

2. Shift Toward Contractual Employment

- t-Test results showed that reforms generated more **contractual jobs** than permanent formal jobs.
- This indicates that while reforms promote flexibility for employers, they may reduce long-term job security for workers.

3. Sectoral Differences in Benefits

- ANOVA results confirmed that the **manufacturing sector** reported the highest employment gains, while the **informal sector** showed the least improvement.
- Service sector respondents reported moderate benefits, especially in IT and outsourcing industries.

4. Gender-Based Opportunities

- Chi-square results showed that reforms had a positive impact on **female employment opportunities**, particularly in the services and IT sectors.
- However, women still face challenges in labor-intensive manufacturing due to workplace barriers and wage disparities.

Suggestions

1. Balanced Reforms

- While flexibility is necessary for employers, safeguards must be introduced to ensure that reforms do not lead to excessive casualization of labor.
- Policies should balance **job creation with job security**, ensuring decent working conditions.

2. Strengthening Social Security

- Expansion of social security schemes (health, pension, insurance) for contractual and informal workers is essential to mitigate insecurity arising from flexible employment.
3. **Sector-Specific Reforms**
 - Manufacturing and services benefit more from reforms, but the **informal sector** remains left out.
 - Policymakers should design **sector-targeted strategies**, particularly for agriculture and informal industries, to make reforms more inclusive.
 4. **Skill Development Programs**
 - Reforms should be complemented with **skill development and vocational training**, ensuring that workers are employable in new industries created by reforms.
 5. **Gender-Sensitive Labor Policies**
 - To maximize the benefits for women, reforms should include **equal pay provisions, maternity benefits, and workplace safety measures**.
 - Incentives for industries employing more women could improve gender equity.
 6. **Digital Monitoring and Transparency**
 - Implementing **digital compliance platforms** can help track employer adherence to labor laws, reduce exploitation, and build trust between workers and employers.

Conclusions

Labor law reforms play a critical role in shaping employment patterns in emerging economies. The present study, based on responses from 250 participants across sectors, confirms that reforms have a significant impact on employment creation. Employers and employees generally perceive reforms positively, particularly in terms of industrial expansion and hiring flexibility. However, the evidence also suggests that the majority of new jobs created are contractual rather than permanent, raising concerns about job stability and long-term worker welfare.

The study further highlights that the benefits of reforms are unevenly distributed across sectors. Manufacturing and services show stronger employment gains, while the informal sector remains largely unaffected. Moreover, the gender dimension is noteworthy—women in services and IT have experienced improved opportunities, but manufacturing continues to present challenges in terms of inclusivity and equitable pay.

In conclusion, labor law reforms alone cannot guarantee sustainable employment generation. To achieve inclusive growth, reforms must be accompanied by strong social security measures, sector-specific policies, and skill development initiatives. A balanced approach that ensures both labor market flexibility and worker protection will be vital in transforming reforms into genuine drivers of employment and economic growth in emerging economies.

References

1. Awais-E-Yazdan, M., Iqbal, M.S., Mushtaq, M., Popescu, V., Birau, R., Popescu, J., Margaritescu, S. (2025) Impact of management practices on employees' safety performance. Highlighting safety as a sustainable development goal in textile industry, *Industria Textila*, 76, 3, 415–430, <http://doi.org/10.35530/IT.076.03.20253>.
2. Besley, T., & Burgess, R. (2004). Can labor regulation hinder economic performance? *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119(1), 91–134. <https://doi.org/10.1162/003355304772839533>.
3. Botero, J. C., Djankov, S., La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A. (2004). The regulation of labor. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119(4), 1339–1382. <https://doi.org/10.1162/0033553042476215>.
4. Gupta, P., & Hasan, R. (2008). Trade liberalization, labor markets, and firm productivity. *The Economic Journal*, 118(528), 435–464. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0297.2008.02136.x>.

5. Hassan, E., Awais-E-Yazdan, M., Birau, R., Wanke, P. & Tan, Y.A. (2024) Predicting financial distress in non-financial sector of Pakistan using PCA and logit, *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print, Emerald Publishing Limited, <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-10-2023-0404>.

6. Hayat, M.A., Chaudhry, M.A., Batool, M., Ghulam, H., Khan, A.R., Spulbar, C., Zahid Naeem, M., Birau, R., Criveanu, M.M. (2022) Turning Crisis into a Sustainable Opportunity Regarding Demand for Training and New Skills in Labor Market: An Empirical Analysis of COVID-19 Pandemic and Skills Upgradation, *Sustainability*, 14(24):16785. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416785>.

7. Heckman, J. J., & Pagés, C. (2004). *Law and employment: Lessons from Latin America and the Caribbean*. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER). University of Chicago Press.

8. Kucera, D. (2017). New labor market indicators: International statistical perspectives. *International Labour Review*, 156(1), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ilr.12001>.